

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/835,709	MCCARTY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted M. Wang	2634	

All Participants:

(1) Ted M. Wang.

(2) Daniel Brown.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2005

Time: 2:00 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13, 14, 22, 23, 31, 32, 40, 41, 45, 56, 57, 62, and 63


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the applicant's representative, Daniel Brown. The instant application is in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)